

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/037,674		03/09/1998	HIDEKI MIZUHARA	2933SE-11-CI	7419
22442	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
	OAN ROSS	S PC	NADAV, ORI		
				PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER	R, CO 802	.02	2811		
				DATE MAILED: 00/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/037,674	MIZUHARA ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ori nadav	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) 🗌 they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were supported to the control of the control o			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: *							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>					
10. ☐ Other:		- 4 4					

ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations of a single modified SOG film, as recited in claims 25 and 31, warrant further consideration and/or search..